



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/687,518	10/13/2000	Rich Karstens	PALM-3513	PALM-3513 7809	
7590 12/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			LE, DA	LE, DANH C	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2683	2683	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus O	09/687,518	KARSTENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ly 2004.		_			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 23-31 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te)-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjorn (US 6,714,222).

As to claim 14, Bjorn teaches a method for automatically delivering a phone call to a device (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54), said method comprising:

monitoring for incoming phone calls by a task of an operating system of said device, said task interfacing directly with the telephony functionality of said device, said task always remaining active irrespective of activities of said operating system;

receiving said incoming phone call by said task; and

said task notifying a user of said device_of_said_incoming_phone_call irrespective of said user's activity on said device and without terminating said activities.

As to claim 15, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in claim 1 wherein said portable electronic device is a palmtop computer system (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54).

As to claim 16, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in Claim 14 further comprising answering said incoming call by the user (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54).

Art Unit: 2683

application.

2. Claim 1-5, 13, 23, 24, 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorn (US 6,714,222).

As to claim 1, Bjorn teaches in a subscriber computer, a method for automatically delivering a phone call (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54), said method comprising:

monitoring for incoming phone calls by a background task of an operating system of said device, said background task interfacing directly with the telephony functionality of said device, said background task always active, said operating system including at least one application;

detecting said incoming phone call by said background task;

notify said operating system of said incoming phone call by background task; and

notifying a user of said device of said incoming phone call by said background

task irrespective of the user's activity on said device without terminating said

Bjorn fails to teach a subscriber computer is a portable electronic device.

However, the teaching of a portable electronic device is obvious since Bjorn teaches to handle an incoming directing to other device such as the mobile wireless handset.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill-in the-art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of mobile wireless handset into the system of Bjorn in order to permit the subscriber to be alerted about calls when the subscriber using the mobile wireless handset.

As to claim 2, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in claim 1 wherein said portable electronic device is a palmtop computer system (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 3, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in Claim 1 further comprising answering said incoming phone call by said user (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54).

As to claim 4, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein said notifying said operating system comprises operating to notify said user of said device of said incoming phone call by said background task irrespective of said user's activity on said device, provided said graphical user interface is blocked (col.13, line 28-col.14, line 54).

As to claim 13, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein said answering is performed by acknowledging an incoming call display on said graphical user interface (figure 1).

As to claims 5, 31-33, the combine of Bjorn and Gonzalez teaches the system as recited in claim 23, wherein the application is a graphical User interface and graphical user interface with an image of a cellular phone keypad and digitry (Bjorn, figure 5).

3. Claims 7-9, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorn in view of Gonzalez (EP 0940 964).

As to claims 7-9, 17-19, Bjorn teaches the method as recited in claim 1, Bjorn fails to teach the notifying said user is performed by activating a ringer, a vibrator, LEDS on said device. Gonzalez teaches the notifying said user is performed by activating a ringer, a vibrator, LEDS on said device (col.3, line 48-58, col.6, line 30-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Gonzalez into the system of Bjorn in order to activate a virtual warning device.

Art Unit: 2683

4. Claims 10-12, 20-22, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorn in view of Baranowski (US 6,370,401).

As to claims 11-12, 21-22, 25-26, Yang teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein step e) is performed by pressing a button on the keypad or device. Bjorn fails to teach a headset and earbud coupled to said device. Baranowski teaches a headset and earbud coupled to said device (col.2, lines 7-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Baranowski into the system of Bjorn in order to enhance system performance of the portable television phone.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 23-31, 33 are allowed.

As to claim 23, the teaching of prior arts either alone or in combination fails to teach all the limitations of the recited claim.

Dependent claims 24-31, 33 are allowable for the same reason.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 26, 2004

DANH CONG LE PATENT EXAMINER